

Comment on NSPS NOW!
American Federation of Government Employees, AFL-CIO

Comments on Proposed NSPS Regulations--RIN 3206-AK76/0790-AH82

Mail original to:
Program Executive Office
National Security Personnel System, Attn: Bradley B. Bunn
1400 Key Boulevard, Suite B-200, Arlington, VA 22209-5144
Mail a copy to:
AFGE Attn: MORE, 80 F Street, NW, Washington, DC 20001

FROM

RICHARD LARSON

TINKER AFB

32 YRS FED. SERVICE

General: I believe the proposed NSPS will undermine the Civil Service and hurt the mission of the DoD employees.

Subpart C Pay, Sections 9901.301 to 9901.373: The employees in DoD should continue to receive the same annual pay across-the-board adjustment that other GS/FWS workers receive.

The individual pay increases for performance should include guaranteed percentages in the regulations so that employees will understand the pay system and what their pay increase will be depending on their performance.

Subpart D Performance Management - 9901.401 to 9901.409: In order to insure fairness and accuracy, DoD employees should be able to appeal any performance rating to an independent grievance and arbitration process like they can do now.

Subpart F Workforce Shaping - 9901.6012 to 9901.611: DoD should not change the current layoff/RIF rules which give balanced credit to performance and the employees valuable years of committed service to DoD.

Subpart G Adverse Actions - 9901.701 to 9901.810: Due process and fairness demand that the independent body reviewing a major suspension as termination be allowed to alter the proposed penalty if they deem it to be unreasonable. The current standards approved by the courts to guide such bodies should continue to be used.

Subpart I Labor-Management Relations - 9901.901 to 9901.929: The labor management law that has governed the employees' right to organize and engage in collective bargaining has worked well since 1978. There is no compelling reason to take away most of the collective bargaining rights or grievance rights.

DoD should not create a "company dominated dispute board." Any dispute board must be "jointly selected" by management and the Union.

Sincerely,



P-0213

Richard H. Larson

Comments are due in Washington, DC on March 15.

Add your comments below.

American Federation of Government Employees, AFL-CIO

we understand some changes need to be implemented for the future BUT, The way this NSPS is being pushed down our throats IS WRONG!

How CAN WE MAKE ANY EDUCATED COMMENTS WITHOUT KNOWING ALL THE NSPS DETAILS???

1. WHO + WHEN WILL THE PAY BANDS BE FIRMED UP?
2. THIS "PERFORMANCE AWARD" POT THAT SUPERVISERS WILL PASS OUT EACH YEAR. IS IT THE SAME AMOUNT EACH YEAR? WHO DECIDES WHAT DIVISION GETS WHAT AMOUNT?
3. AT WHAT INTERVALS ^{(1 YEAR - 5 YEARS)?} WILL THE PAY BANDS BE ADJUSTED? OR WILL THEY EVERY CHANGE?
4. I THOUGHT WE WERE TRYING TO GET RID OF THE "GOOD OL BOY" SYSTEM - THIS NSPS WILL ONLY INSURE THAT IT IS IN FULL POWER. ALLOWING SUPERVISORS TO GIVE OUT "ANY OR ALL" TO ONE EMPLOYEE! ^{IS} WRONG.
5. IF SOMEONE IS AT THE VERY TOP OF A PAY BAND ALREADY AND "CANNOT" BE PLACED IN THE NEXT HIGHER BAND - HOW CAN HE EVEN RECEIVE ANY PERFORMANCE AWARD MONEY?

Comments are due in Washington, DC on March 15.